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This paper treats a problem of expansion of a circular opening in an infinite rigid-plastic plate, taking into account the increase of its thickness near the contour. This problem was formulated and solved by Taylor and hill [1] using the Tresca plasticity condition.

Let us analyse the problem of expansion of a circular opening using the plasticity condition

$$
\sigma_{r}^{2}-\sigma_{r} \sigma_{\theta}+\sigma_{\theta}^{2}=3 k^{2}
$$

and the associated flow law.
Three annular regions must be distinguished here: a plastic region $a \leqslant r \leqslant b$ with a varying thickness of the plate, $h ;$ a plastic region $b \leqslant r \leqslant c$ with a constant thickness $h_{0}$; and a rigid region $c<r \leqslant \infty$ with a constant thickness $h_{0}$, as shown in Fig. 1.

The equilibrium equation for a plate of thickness $h$ is known to be

$$
\frac{\partial\left(h \sigma_{r}\right)}{\partial r}+\frac{h\left(\sigma_{r}-\sigma_{\theta}\right)}{r}=0
$$
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The usual relationship between the stress components and strain rates

$$
\frac{\varepsilon_{r}}{2 \sigma_{r}-\sigma_{\theta}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{\theta}}{2 \sigma_{\theta}-\sigma_{r}}
$$

immediately yields the following:

$$
\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}=\frac{2 \sigma_{r}-\sigma_{\theta}}{2 \sigma_{\theta}-\sigma_{r}} \frac{v}{r}
$$

The condition of incompressibility can be expressed as

$$
\frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial c}+v \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{\partial v}{\partial r}+\frac{v}{r}=0
$$

where $c$ determines the time scale. Let

$$
\alpha=a / c, \quad \beta=b / c, \quad \beta=r / c
$$

We shall assume that all unknown quantities are functions of $\rho$ only.
Consider first the annular region $a \leqslant \rho \leqslant \beta$ where $h$ is variable, and let us investigate the basic equations describing the plastic flow in this region. The equilibrium equation is now written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d\left(h \sigma_{r}\right)}{d \rho}+\frac{h\left(\sigma_{r}-\sigma_{\theta}\right)}{\rho}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the plasticity condition as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r}^{2}-\sigma_{r} \sigma_{\theta}+\sigma_{\theta}^{2}=3 k^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations relating radial velocity with the stress components are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d v}{d \rho}=\frac{2 \sigma_{r}-\sigma_{\theta}}{2 \sigma_{\theta}-\sigma_{r}} \frac{v}{\rho} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the condition of incompressibility

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v-\rho}{h} \frac{d h}{d \rho}+\frac{d v}{d \rho}+\frac{v}{\rho}=0 \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us express the stress components $\sigma_{r}$ and $\sigma_{\theta}$ in terms of a new variable as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{r} \\
\sigma_{\theta}
\end{array}\right\}=-2 k \sin \left(\varphi \pm \frac{\pi}{6}\right)
$$

and let

$$
w=\frac{v}{\rho}, \quad \psi=\varphi+\frac{\pi}{6}
$$

Equations (1) and (2), together with (3) and (4), result in

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho \frac{d \varphi}{d \rho}=-\frac{\sqrt{3} w-2 \cos \varphi \cos \psi}{2(w-1) \cos ^{2} \psi}  \tag{5}\\
\rho \frac{d w}{d \rho}=-\frac{\sqrt{3} \cos \varphi}{\cos \psi} w
\end{gather*}
$$
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and in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho}{h} \frac{d h}{d \rho}=\frac{w \sin \varphi}{(w-1) \cos \psi} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5), after elimination of $w$, results in a differential equation of second order. Changing the variables

$$
\rho \frac{d \varphi}{d \varphi}=\frac{\tan ^{2} \psi}{\omega}\left(\frac{\sin \psi}{\sin \varphi}\right)^{2} \exp (\sqrt{3} \varphi), \quad \Phi=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\frac{\sin \varphi}{\sin \psi}\right)^{3} \exp (-\sqrt{3} \varphi)
$$

this equation reduces to the Abel equation. We finally have

$$
\frac{1}{\omega} \frac{d \omega}{d \varphi}=\frac{2 \cos ^{2} \varphi \cos ^{2} \psi}{\sin ^{3} \varphi \sin ^{3} \psi} \Phi^{2} \omega^{2}+\frac{2\left(1+\cos ^{2} \varphi\right) \cos ^{2} \psi-\cos \varphi \sin \psi}{\sin ^{2} \varphi \sin ^{2} \psi} \Phi \omega
$$

In the vicinity of points $\rho=\alpha$ and $\rho=\beta$ we can deduce approximate integrals of (5) and (6). In the neighborhood of a point $\rho=a, \phi=0$ it is easy to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=C \xi^{1 / 3}, \quad w=1-2 \xi, \quad \frac{h}{h_{0}}=D\left(1-V \overline{3} C \xi^{1 / 3}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and near the point $\rho=\beta, \phi=\pi / 3$ it is easy to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi=\frac{\pi}{3}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\left(\eta+\frac{1}{4} \eta^{2}\right), \quad w=-\frac{1}{2} \eta+\frac{11}{8} \eta^{2}, \quad \frac{h}{h_{0}}=1-\frac{1}{2} \eta+\frac{5}{8} \eta^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\xi=\frac{p}{\alpha}-1, \quad \eta=\frac{\rho}{\beta}-1
$$

Differential equations (5) and (6) permit the construction of functions $\phi$, wa $h$ inside of an interval $a \leqslant \rho \leqslant \beta$. The approximate integrals (7) and (8) permit us to obtain the same integrals near points $\rho=a$ and $\rho=\beta$. The integral curves of $\phi$ and $w$ are shown in Fig. 2 for $\rho=0.276, C=0.78, D=3.61$ and $h=3.61 h_{0}$ at $\rho=a$.

It has to be noted that near the contour of the opening, where $h$ is varying quite rapidly, the theory proposed above cannot claim any high degree of accuracy.

Let us analyse now an annular region $\beta \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1$ where $h$ is constant. Obviously, we have here the known solution satisfying the condition $\sigma_{r}+\sigma_{\theta}=0$ or $\phi=0$ for $\rho=1$. This solution is

$$
\rho=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\cos \varphi}} \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \varphi\right), \quad w=v=0, \quad h=h_{0}
$$

The value of $\rho=\beta$ corresponding to $\rho=\pi / 3$ is

$$
\beta=\sqrt{2} \exp \left(-\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{3}}\right)=0.571
$$

The results of an approximate integration of (5) and (6) are shown in Table 1. They are applicable to the whole annular region $\alpha \leqslant \rho \leqslant \beta$ where the thickness of the plate is variable.

The dependence of the stresses $\sigma_{r}$ and $\sigma_{\theta}$ on $\rho$ are shown by solid lines in Fig. 3, and the dependence of $h$ by a solid line in Fig. 4. Both $\sigma_{r}$ and $o_{\theta}$ are continuous in $a \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1$.
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Consider, for the sake of comparison, the same problem using the plasticity condition

$$
\sigma_{0}-\sigma_{r}=2 k, \quad \sigma_{r} \sigma_{\theta} \leqslant 0, \quad \sigma_{r}=-2 k, \quad \sigma_{r} \sigma_{0} \geqslant 0
$$

and the usual relationships between the stress components and strain rate components, as was done by Hill.

First consider an annular region $\alpha \leqslant \rho \leqslant \beta$, where the thickness of the plate is variable, and let us investigate basic relationships which describe plastic flow in a part of this region where $\sigma_{r}=-2 k$. Equation (1) and plasticity condition $\sigma_{r}=-2 k$, together with (3) and (4). establish

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{d w}{d \rho}=\frac{3(1-\sigma)}{2 s-1} w, \quad w=\frac{(2 \sigma-1)(\sigma-1)}{2\left(\sigma^{2}-\sigma+1\right)}, \quad \sigma=-\frac{\sigma_{0}}{2 k} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\rho}{h} \frac{d h}{d \rho}=\sigma-1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eliminating $w$ from (9) results in

$$
\rho \frac{d}{d \rho}\left[\frac{(2 \sigma-1)(\sigma-1)}{\sigma^{2}-\sigma+1}\right]=-\frac{3(\sigma-1)^{2}}{\sigma^{2}-\sigma+1}
$$

which can be integrated in a closed form. Since $\sigma=1 / 2$, for $\rho=\beta$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\beta \frac{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}-\sigma+1}}{\sqrt{3}(1-\sigma)} \exp \left[\frac{1-2 \sigma}{3(1-\sigma)}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{1-2 \sigma}{\sqrt{3}}\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{(2 \sigma-1)(\sigma-1)}{2\left(\sigma^{2}-5+1\right)}, \quad h=\frac{h_{0}}{[2(1-5)]^{1 / 3}} \exp \left[\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \tan ^{-1} \frac{1-2 \sigma}{\sqrt{3}}\right] \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE 1.

| $\rho$ | $\varphi$ | $w$ | $-\sigma_{r} / 2 k$ | $\sigma_{0} / 2 k$ | $v$ | $h / h_{o}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.276 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.276 | 3.61 |
| 0.280 | 0.188 | 0.970 | 0.653 | 0.329 | 0.272 | 2.67 |
| 0.290 | 0.309 | 0.871 | 0.739 | 0.213 | 0.253 | 2.26 |
| 0.300 | 0.384 | 0.820 | 0.788 | 0.140 | 0.246 | 2.04 |
| 0.310 | 0.442 | 0.752 | 0.822 | 0.081 | 0.233 | 1.89 |
| 0.320 | 0.491 | 0.688 | 0.849 | 0.033 | 0.220 | 1.77 |
| 0.300 | 0.534 | 0.629 | 0.871 | -0.010 | 0.208 | 1.67 |
| 0.340 | 0.572 | 0.574 | 0.889 | -0.048 | 0.195 | 1.59 |
| 0.350 | 0.606 | 0.524 | 0.904 | -0.082 | 0.183 | 1.53 |
| 0.360 | 0.638 | 0.477 | 0.917 | -0.114 | 0.172 | 1.47 |
| 0.370 | 0.667 | 0.433 | 0.929 | -0.143 | 0.160 | 1.42 |
| 0.380 | 0.695 | 0.392 | 0.938 | -0.170 | 0.149 | 1.37 |
| 0.390 | 0.721 | 0.355 | 0.947 | -0.196 | 0.138 | 1.33 |
| 0.400 | 0.745 | 0.319 | 0.955 | -0.220 | 0.128 | 1.30 |
| 0.410 | 0.768 | 0.287 | 0.961 | -0.242 | 0.118 | 1.26 |
| 0.420 | 0.791 | 0.257 | 0.967 | -0.264 | 0.108 | 1.24 |
| 0.430 | 0.812 | 0.229 | 0.972 | -0.284 | 0.098 | 1.21 |
| 0.440 | 0.832 | 0.203 | 0.977 | -0.303 | 0.089 | 1.18 |
| 0.450 | 0.851 | 0.179 | 0.981 | -0.322 | 0.080 | 1.16 |
| 0.460 | 0.870 | 0.157 | 0.984 | -0.340 | 0.072 | 1.14 |
| 0.470 | 0.888 | 0.136 | 0.987 | -0.357 | 0.064 | 1.12 |
| 0.480 | 0.906 | 0.117 | 0.990 | -0.373 | 0.056 | 1.10 |
| 0.490 | 0.923 | 0.100 | 0.992 | -0.389 | 0.049 | 1.09 |
| 0.500 | 0.940 | 0.084 | 0.994 | -0.405 | 0.042 | 1.07 |
| 0.510 | 0.958 | 0.068 | 0.996 | -0.420 | 0.035 | 1.06 |
| 0.520 | 0.972 | 0.055 | 0.997 | 0.433 | 0.029 | 1.05 |
| 0.530 | 0.986 | 0.043 | 0.998 | -0.446 | 0.023 | 1.04 |
| 0.540 | 1.001 | 0.031 | 0.999 | -0.459 | 0.017 | 1.03 |
| 0.550 | 1.016 | 0.020 | 1.000 | -0.472 | 0.011 | 1.02 |
| 0.560 | 1.031 | 0.010 | 1.000 | -0.486 | 0.0066 | 1.01 |
| 0.570 | 1.046 | 0.001 | 1.000 | -0.499 | 0.001 | 1.00 |
| 0.571 | 1.047 | 0.000 | 1.000 | -0.500 | 0.000 | 1.00 |

This solution is valid for $\sigma \leqslant 0$ or

$$
\rho \geqslant \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{3}} \exp \left[\frac{1}{3}\left(1-\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{3}}\right)\right]=0.361 \beta
$$

Consider now the annular region $\beta \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1$ where the thickness of the plate is constant. Here is valid the known solution satisfying $\sigma_{r}+\sigma_{\theta}=0$ for $\rho=1$

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{r} \\
\sigma_{0}^{-}
\end{array}\right\}=2 k\left(\ln \rho \neq \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad w=r=0, \quad h=h_{0}
$$

The value of $\rho=\beta$ corresponding to $\sigma_{r}=-2 k, \sigma_{\theta}=0$ is

$$
\beta=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=0.607
$$

The results obtained by Hill are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2.

| $\rho$ | $-\sigma_{r} / 2 k$ | $\sigma_{\theta} / 2 k$ | $v$ | $h / h_{\theta}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.280 | 0.500 | 0.500 | 0.280 | 3.84 |
| 0.290 | 0.772 | 0.228 | 0.268 | 2.39 |
| 0.300 | 0.855 | 0.145 | 0.254 | 2.06 |
| 0.310 | 0.910 | 0.090 | 0.240 | 1.87 |
| 0.320 | 0.948 | 0.052 | 0.227 | 1.73 |
| 0.330 | 0.973 | 0.027 | 0.214 | 1.64 |
| 0.340 | 0.988 | 0.012 | 0.202 | 1.56 |
| 0.350 | 0.997 | 0.003 | 0.191 | 1.50 |
| 0.360 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.181 | 1.46 |
| 0.361 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.180 | 1.45 |

These results are valid only for that portion of the annular region $\alpha \leqslant \rho \leqslant \beta$ of varying thickness where $\sigma_{\theta}-\sigma_{r}=2 k$.

The values of $\sigma_{r}$ and $\sigma_{\theta}$ as a function of $\rho$ are shown by dotted lines in Fig. 3, and those of $h$ by a dotted line in Fig. 4. $\sigma_{r}$ is continuous in the whole interval $a \leqslant \rho \leqslant 1$, and $\sigma_{\theta}$ is discontinuous at $\rho=\beta$. Comparison of solid and dotted curves in Figs. 3 and 4 indicates a considerable difference between the stress components $\sigma_{r}$ and $\sigma_{\theta}$, and al so some difference in $h$.

In conclusion, we mention a solution of the same problem by Prager [2], where the Tresca plasticity condition and the associate flow law were used.

Equation (1) and the condition $\sigma_{r}=-2 k, \sigma_{\theta}=0$ in the plastic zone
with variable thickness $h$, and (4) result in

$$
\frac{d h}{d \rho}+\frac{h}{\rho}=0, \quad \frac{v-\rho}{h} \frac{d h}{d \rho}+\frac{d v}{d \rho}+\frac{v}{\rho}=0
$$

since $v=0, h=h_{0}$ for $\rho=\beta$, it is possible to find

$$
v=\beta-\rho, \quad h=h_{0} \frac{\beta}{\rho}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
0 \leqslant \varepsilon_{\theta} \leqslant-\varepsilon_{r} \quad \text { or } \quad 0 \leqslant \beta / \rho-1 \leqslant 1
$$

so that

$$
\beta / 2 \leqslant \rho \leqslant \beta
$$

Comparison of these results with the previous solutions shows quite marked disagreement of the vallues of the stresses $\sigma_{r}$ and $\sigma_{\theta}$, as well as of the strain rates $v$ and the thicknesses $h$.
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